DEPENDENT CLAUSES AND FOCUS PARTICLE IN KAKABE

Alexandra Vydrina[†]

Laboratoire de Phonétique et Phonologie, UMR-7018 CNRS

edited by Valentin Vydrin

Abstract: The paper deals with the syntax of focalization in Kakabe (Mokole < Western Mande). By default, the argument focus is marked by a specialized particle *lè* following the focused constituent which remains *in situ*. To mark the sentence focus, *lè* usually follows the subject. *lè* cannot appear inside adverbial and relative subordinate clauses, in infinitive constructions; it cannot follow most of adverbial expressions. At the same time, it can be hosted by adverbs and postpositional phrases of time, manner and place.

Key words: focalization, focus particle, external focalization, Kakabe language, Mande languages

Editor's preface

Information structure of Kakabe was in the center of Alexandra's academic interest during the final period of her life. Already in her PhD thesis (Vydrina 2017), she paid lots of attention to focalization. Two big papers published after the defence of the thesis dealt with sentence focus (Vydrina 2020a) and with operator focus in Kakabe (Vydrina 2020b). Another big paper, "Morphological focus and its agreement features", was prepared for publication (hopefully, it will appear before the end of 2023). In these theoretical articles, different aspects of Kakabe focalization (and information structure in general) are considered in detail, in the framework of several formal approaches, with ample references to the relevant linguistic literature. It would not be an exaggeration to say that these writings represent so far the most

profound and detailed analysis of the information structure in the Mande linguistics.

This paper is of a different kind. It presents, in an extremely frugal way, the Kakabe data on the syntax of focalization, with a special attention to the island constraints for the placement of the focalization particle *lè*. In fact, it remained unfinished, and it required some editing (a number of repetitions have been removed, some phrases have been completed, the numeration of examples has been corrected, etc.).

Certainly, this paper cannot be compared with Alexandra's other focalization papers with respect to the analytical depth, however, it represents some language data which are absent elsewhere. Another advantage of this presentation is that it provides an inventory of syntactic contexts applicable for the study of focalization in other Mande languages (and beyond).

I don't know if Alexandra would publish this paper in its present form. Most probably, she would rather use it as a starting point for another in-depth analytical study. Alas, this study cannot be continued by the author. But still, publication of this text, however sketchy it may be, seems to me not devoid of interest for the African linguistics.

Valentin Vydrin

1. General information about Kakabe

Kakabe < Western Mande < Mande; ~50 000 speakers. Rigid S (aux)-O-V-X word order where X stands for any adjunct.

(1) Sbj	Aux	DO	V	IO	pp
à	Sĺ	nìngéé	sàn	mànsáà	yèn
à	si	nìngi-È	sàn	mànsa-È	yen
3sg	POT	COW.ART	buy	chief-art	BNF
'He will buy a cow for the chief.'					

¹ Kakabe phrasal examples are presented systematically in three lines. In the first line, a superficial realization is provided; in the second line, the underlying form; in the third one, the glosses. Every example is followed by a free translation into English.

Kakabe is a **tonal language**, with H and L, floating L tones and downstep (non-automatic downdrift). The distance between the underlying (lexical) tones and their surface realization can be rather important. Examples are given with two lines of transcription: the first line represents the surface phonemic transcription and the second line gives the underlying lexical forms for each morpheme.

2. Focus in simple sentences

2.1 Narrow vs. broad focus

Focus is signaled by dedicated particle *lè* (*dè* after nasals). The focused constituent always remains *in situ*.

- Sbj focus (2) a. [*mùséè* $l\grave{e}_{\rm L}$ ka sòhéè tàhì sòbo-È mùsu-È lè ka tàhi woman.ART FP PFV.TR meat.ART prepare 'THE WOMAN prepare the meat.'
- b. $mùs\acute{e}\grave{e}$ $k\acute{a}$ $[s\grave{o}b\acute{e}\acute{e}$ $l\grave{e}]_F$ $t\grave{a}b\grave{i}$ Obj focus $m\grave{u}su-\grave{E}$ $k\grave{a}$ $s\grave{o}bo-\grave{E}$ $l\grave{e}$ $t\grave{a}bi$ woman.ART PFV.TR meat.ART FP prepare 'The woman prepared THE MEAT.'

Constructions with $l\dot{e}$ following DO can also have the broader VP focus reading (3).

- (3) mùséè kà sòbéé † lé tàbì mùsu-È ka sòbo-È lè tàbi woman-ART PFV.TR meat-ART FP prepare
- a. '(What did the woman prepare?) The woman prepared THE MEAT.'
- b. '(What did the woman do?) The woman PREPARED THE MEAT.'

Nevertheless, there is no ban on placing $l\dot{e}$ on the verb, and narrow V-focus is signaled by $l\dot{e}$ following the verb (4).

(4) mùséé sòbéé bàràbárá kà 1è mùsu-È ka sòbo-È hàrahara lè woman-ART PFV.TR meat.ART boil FP '(Did the woman fry or boil the meat?) The woman BOILED the meat.'

2.2 Complex DPs

Complex DP is transparent for the focus particle which can be placed after the head as well as after the possessor; cf. the focus on the whole complex DP (5a), on the head (5b), and on the possessor (5c):

- nìngéé $(5) a. \hat{n}$ ká ſή nèènè lá $l\grave{e}_{\mathbb{R}}$ màyìtà nìngi-È mayìta ka 'n nèene la lè 1sg pev tr $1s_{G}$ mother POSS COW-ART sell FP '(Did you sell your father's goat or your mother's cow?) I sold my mother's cow.'
- $l\check{e}]_{_{\mathrm{F}}}$ [nìngéé h 'n ká ń nèènè lá màyìtà nìngi-È ka 'n nèene la lè mayìta 1sg pfv.tr 1sg mother POSS cow-art FP sell '(Did you sell your mother's goat or your mother's cow?) I sold my mother's cow.'
- 'n ká ń [nèènè $l\grave{e}_{\mathbb{R}}$ nìngéé màyìtà lá c. nìngi-È 'n ka 'n nèene la mavìta lè mother sell 1sg pev tr 1sg FP POSS COW-ART '(Did you sell your father's cow or your mother's cow?) I sold MY MOTHER'S COW'

The focus encoded by $l\dot{e}$ can be opposed to one alternative only, as in (6) or be construed against an open set of alternatives (7).

(6) à máá ň[†]lé †lé gbàsì à máa ňdè lè gbàsi 2PL NEG.PFV 1SG.LG FP beat

```
là dé⁺nέ
                                    ⁺lé
     ká
             Ś
                                          gbàsì
ò
                         dén-È
à
     ka
                   la
                                          gbàsi
                                    lè
                   POSS child-ART
     PEV TR 2PL
2<sub>PL</sub>
                                          beat
                                    FP
'You have not beaten ME, you have beaten YOUR CHILD.'
(sakeke Mamadu 2010 059)
```

ké[†]rén (7) kà *dé nààtí à vàn kéri-È-nu à ka lè nàati yàn 3sg pfv.tr hoe-art-pl bring here FP '[What has this one brought to you?] He brought THE HOES here.' (numu SNKeita 2009 042)

2.3. Sentence Focus

In the context Sentence Focus, *lè* is mostly placed after the subject (8).

(8) mɔ́nbi lé lé bèètà
mɔ́nbili-È lè bèe-ta
car-ART FP fall-PFV.I
'[What happened?] The car crashed.'
(KKEC_AV_NARR_150124_AK1_124)

When both core arguments in SF are full DPs and non-given, $l\dot{e}$ appears after the subject NP, according to the principle of subject priority. This is illustrated by the two introductory SF constructions below (9).

(9) túlân dè ká nààréènù súbέ tólónὲ là túla-nu lè ka nàari-È-nu súbε tólon-È la mouse-PL FP PFV.TR cat-ART-PL choose game-ART OBL '(Beginning of a story:) The mice chose the cats to make a party.' (tula_SNKeita_2009_003)

But other position for $l\dot{e}$ are possible in SF as well, depending on the discourse properties of the referents. In (10), as is clear from the

preceding context, the QUD is of the type 'What happened?' and therefore the clause has the SF articulation. Again, the subject is pronominal and *lè* is placed after the nominal DO 'my hoes'.

(10)
$$n$$
 bi n na kérè-nu lè jígalen n bi n la kéri-È-nu lè jíga-len lsG be lsG poss hoe-art-pl fp take-pc.st káà dòni nùméè báta kà-à dòni nùmu-È báta INF-3sG send smith-art at

'[Birds, listen to me what happened]: I took my hoes and went with them to the smith's.' (numu SNKeita 2009 063)

3. Internal vs. external focalization of subordinate clauses

3.1. Adverbial clause

When the focus is on a subconstituent of a subordinate clause, e.g. an adverbial clause as in (11), $l\dot{e}$ cannot appear after the semantically focused constituent. Instead, the focus marker is found at the end of the matrix clause.

- (11) a. [[\grave{a} tááta lúúm \grave{e} to (lé)] [\grave{a} ní [$b\grave{a}$ ntár \grave{a}]_F s \grave{a} n]] \grave{a} táa-ta lúumo- \grave{E} to lè \grave{a} ni $b\grave{a}$ ntara- \grave{E} s \grave{a} n 3sG go-pfv.I market-art in FP 3sG SBJV manioc-art buy 'He went to the market to buy MANIOC (not rice).'
- b. $*[\grave{a} t\acute{a}\acute{a}ta l\acute{u}um\grave{e} to] [\grave{a} n\acute{i} [b\grave{a}nt\acute{a}r\grave{a} l\grave{e}]_F s\grave{a}n]$

The same happens for when the focus is, pragmatically, on the whole adverbial clause (external focalization of the dependent clause). Here, again, $l\dot{e}$ appears not at the end of the focused constituent but at the end of the matrix clause (12).

- (12) Adverbial clause focus: focus particle in the main clause
- hì káá wò táá-lá lè [wò nì a. wó 2PL2_{PL}2_{PL} be dance or.o go-ger fp SBJV

'[Do you go there to study] or do you go there in order to dance?'(Lit. 'so that you dance']

- b. *káá wò bì táá-lá wò nì wó dòn dè
- (13) ivíli váà ma lè [wò ni pògən $gb \dot{\varepsilon} ll \partial n$ wò ni nògən víli hi-à lè gbéllən ma 2sg wish be-3sg FP 2PL SBJV each.other on '[Are you looking forward to that you would play football] or are you looking forward to go chasing after each other?' (TALK03 228)

3.2. Relative clause

When a subconstituent of a relativized clause is focused, the focus particle appears on the resumptive pronoun $w \grave{o}$ which is also used as the distal demonstrative 'that' in the language (14).

(14) Correlative relativization

kánkánè mín kà sààgáá kànká ànù kà wó lè bìtà kánkan-È mîn ka sàaga-È kànka ànu ka wò lè bìta thief-ART REL PFV.TR sheep-ART steal 3PL PFV.TR that FP catch 'They caught the thief who stole the sheep (not the thief who stole the goat).'

là, (15) mîn sógó bí ì 1è tún là wź vén mîn sớgo bi la. ì wź lè tún yén la wish be 2sg obl 2sg that foc only REL see **GER** kà mîn là f5, ì kóo wò lè tún vén lá fź. ì ka mîn la kóo wò lè tún vén la 2sg pfv.tr rel poss matter say 2sg that foc only see GER '[When you go hunting], you will find whatever you wish. Whatever you say, you will see it.' (banba SNKeita 2009 082)

Correlative with relativized DO: focus particle $l\dot{e}$ in matrix clause on the resumptive pronoun $w\dot{o}$ (16).

'They sent the man THAT THE LION WOUNDED to the hospital (not the man that fell down himself).' (Lit. 'The lion wounded that man, they sent HIM to the hospital')

Embedded relativization is also attested in Kakabe, even though it is much less frequent than the correlative strategy. The focus particle, again, cannot appear in-situ, i.e. within the subordinate clause and appears instead in the matrix clause. It appears linearly, at the end of the relativized NP which, in this case, coincides with the end of the relativized clause (17).

(17) ànu ka [ppkánkanè [REL mín ka sàagáá, kànka] lè] bìta ànu ka kánkan-È mîn ka sàaga-È kànka lè bìta

3PL PFV.TR thief-ART REL PFV.TR sheep-ART steal FP catch 'They caught the thief who stole the sheep (not the thief who stole the goat).'

See also (18)–(19) with embedded relativization in which the relativized NP occupies the DO position and the focus is on a DP within the embedded relativized clause.

(18) $\grave{a}n\grave{u}$ $\grave{k}\grave{a}$ $\left[{}_{\text{DP}}k\acute{a}nkan\grave{e} \right]_{\text{REL}}d\acute{e}nn\grave{e}n\grave{u}_{\text{F}}$ $\grave{k}\grave{a}$ $m\acute{i}n$ $y\grave{e}n$] $d\grave{e}$] $b\grave{i}ta$ $\grave{a}nu$ ka $k\acute{a}nkan-\grave{E}$ $d\acute{e}nden-\grave{E}-nu$ ka $m\^{i}n$ $y\acute{e}n$ $|\grave{e}|$ $b\grave{i}ta$ 3PL PFV.TR thief-ART child-ART-PL PFV.TR REL see FP catch 'They caught the thief who the CHILDREN saw (not the one who the women saw).'

[kàyéè [jàtáà (19) *ànu kà* kà mín mágbá] lè] kàvi-È jàta-È ka mágba ànu ka mîn lè lion-art pfv.tr rel PEV TR man-ART wound FP dònì lábútánè tà dòni lábutane-È t: send hospital-ART in

'They sent the man THAT THE LION WOUNDED, to the hospital (not the man that fell down himself).' (Lit. 'The lion wounded that man, they sent HIM, to the hospital.')

3.3. Infinitive

Examples (20)–(21) illustrate the unavailability of the infinitival clause for in situ focus particle: the semantically focused DO of the infinitive triggers $l\dot{e}$ in the matrix clause instead.

- (20) i $k\dot{a}$ $k\acute{a}n$ $d\dot{e}$ $k\dot{a}$ $y\acute{e}g\grave{e}_F$ $d\grave{a}m\grave{u}$ i ka $k\acute{a}n$ $l\grave{e}$ $k\grave{a}$ $y\acute{e}ge-\grave{E}$ $d\acute{a}mu$ 2sg pfv.tr ought.to fp inf fish-art eat 'You have to eat fish.'
- (21) à nàtà lúúmè tó lè kà bàntárà sàn à na-ta lúumɔ-È tɔ lè kà bàtara-È sàn 3sg come-pfv.i market-art in fp inf manioc-art buy 'He came to the market and bought MANIOC.'

3.4. Gerund, nominalization: no restictions on in situ FP

No restrictions on in-situ focalizations are found for subconstituents of gerunds and nominalizations.

(22) à fɔlɔtá yɛ́gɛ̀ lè dàmùlà Gerund à fɔlɔ-ta yɛ́gɛ-È lè dámu-la 3sg start-pfv.i fish-art fp eat-ger 'He started eating fish (and not meat).'

(23) yégè lè dámòè káà lágbàndì Nominalization yége-È lè dámu-È ka-à la-gbàndi fish-art fp eat-art pfv.tr-3sg caus-fall.ill

'It is because of eating fish that he fell ill.' (Lit. 'The eating of FISH made him ill')

3.5. Utterance complement: no restriction on in situ FP

Differently from the subjunctive, relative and infinitive clauses, complement clauses impose no restriction on the expression of their internal focalization *in situ*.

(24) n báà fólá non mòònì sóngè wó n bi-à fó-la non mòoni sóngò-È wò 1sg be-3sg say-ger disc porridge price-art that kàyéè lè yáà dílá mùséè bòlò kayi-È lè bi-à dí-la mùsu-È bólo man-art fp be-3sg give-ger woman-art hand 'I was saying, the money for the porridge the man gives it to the woman.' (KKEC_AV_CONV_131207_TALK03_053)

3.6. Utterance complement: external focalization

As shown in §3.5, subconstituents of utterance complements can be focused in situ. In contrast to that, when focus is on the utterance complement **as a whole**, $l\dot{e}$ appears in the matrix clause and not at the end of the utterance complement, as would be expected if it were in situ.

(25) mà váà fìlá ànì vén dè ànu yen lè mà bi-à f5-la 1PL be-3sg say-ger 3PL bnf fp [àn nì sáli kútáà sàn mà yèn] ànu ni sáli kùta-È sàn mà ven 3PL SBJV holiday clothes-ART buy 1PL '(What do you ask them to do?) We tell them [that they should buy clothes for us]...' (TALK03 122)

```
(26) i
         kánáà
                      f5 [à
                              si
                                     úlúfité]<sub>r</sub>
          káni-à
                      fź
                           à
                                     úlufitε
                                si
     2sg imp.neg-3sg say 3sg pot fall.in
            fźlá
     háà
                     lè [ì
                                  biri]
ì
                            sί
     bi-à
            f5-la
                     lè ì
                             Sİ
                                  bìri
     be-3sg say-ger fp 2sg pot fall.in
'You shouldn't say: "it will ulufite" you should say "you will fall in".'
(prel 2010 080)
```

In (27) the focus is on the greeting tana maa tele 'Hello'. It is under the scope of focus and also under the scope of the exclusive focus particle tana 'only' with both the non-specialized focus particle le and the exclusive tana appearing after the utterance predicate:

```
(27) sì ì
            kétá
                           háà
                                 fʻ5la
                                          lè
                                              tún
    S\hat{I} \hat{I}
            ké-ta
                           bi-à
                                 f5-la
                                          lè
                                              tún
    if 2sg arrive-pfv.i 2sg be-3sg say-ger fp
                                              only
             télé] ì
[tànà máá
                                            fźlà
                       bi wó
                                  lè
                                      tún
tàna máa
             téle
                                            f5-la
                       hi
                            wò
                                  lè
                                      tún
     PFV.NEG day
                  2sg be that
evil
                                      only
                                             sav-ger
                                  FP
'When you come you say only: "Hello". You don't say anything else.'
```

4. Focus on non-clausal XPs and ex-situ focus particle

4.1. Contexts requiring the ex-situ focus particle

Apart from subordinate clauses, ex-situ position of focus maker (when it is not on the XP semantically in focus) is found in context when the semantic focus is on one of the following categories of XPs:

- quotes, names (28)–(30), complements of comparative constructions (31),
- clause-level adverbials and adverbial constituents, e.g. dóndèn-dóndèn 'little by little' (32), báakε 'a lot', and polarity items, e.g. kán wóokánkan 'anyhow', lùn wólùn 'any day' (33),
- some manner adverbs have an "expressive form" associated with raised high tone and, optionally, reduplication (34),

- clauses-level focus sensitive particles of epistemic stance/commitment judgement: féw 'emph', tún 'only' etc. (35)–(36),
- adverbial intensifiers, lexically specific adverbs (37),
- onomatopoea (38)–(39).

Importantly, they are often accompanied by register raising, signaled by \(\frac{1}{2}\), that can be interpreted as **downdrift break**.

(28) Naming construction with f5 sòmáádún à ì f5lá

sòmáadun à i fólá lè kánfinè sòmáadun à bi fó-la lè kán-fin-È otherwise 3sg be say-ger FP neck-black-È

'[The language is called "Kakabe"], otherwise, it is called "BLACK NECK".' (mosque3 078)

(29) Naming construction with kó

sòséènù kó àn má lè n55la mìkif55re sòso-È-nu kó ànu ma lè n55la mìkif55re sousou-art-pl say 3pl to FP there mikifore

'Susu call them there Mikifoore.' (KKEC_AV_CONV_131220_ mosque3 082)

The use of $l\dot{e}$ in the non-verbal naming construction with $t\dot{g}_{2}$ (30).

- (30) kè lógó dénè tógó lè káppè kè lógo dén-È tógo lè káppè that tree child-ART name FP yam 'This fruit is called yam.' (KKEC_AV_CONV_131207_TALK02 083)
- (31) Comparative construction

à káá bìtá lè kó à níímógè
 à ka-à bìta lè kó à níimogo-È
 3sg pfv.tr-3sg take fp say 3sg sibling.in.law-art

'She considered her as HER SISTER-IN-LAW.' (KKEC_AV_NARR 131227 AK3 119)

(32) Clause-level adverbials

mà ì tólónná lè ↑dóndèndóndèn
mà bi tólón-la lè dóndendonden

1PL be play-GER FP slowly

'(Do you quarrel when you play?) No, we play QUIETLY.' (KKEC_
AV CONV 131207 TALK03 240)

- (33) Polarity items
- a. à bì wálílá lè lùn†wólùn
 à bi wáli-la lè lùn†wólùn
 3sg be work-ger fp any.day
 'He works any day/any time.'
- b. *à bì wálílá lùn†wólùn dè
- (34) Expressive manner adverbs
- a. à bì bòrìlá lè †dóyi-dóyi
 à bi bòri-la lè †dóyi-dóyi
 3sg be run-ger fp slowly.emph
 'He is running very slowly (INDEED).'
- b. *à bì bòrìlá ↑dóyi-dóyi lè

Clause-level particles of epistemic stance (35)–(36).

- (35) a. à gbàndiyàtà lè \frac{féw}{a} gbàndiya-ta lè \frac{féw}{féw} 3sG fall.ill-pfv.I fp indeed 'Indeed, he fell ill!'
- b. *à gbàndìyàtà ↑féw lè
- (36) a. n
 ightarrow g
 ightarrow g
 ightarrow a bi n
 ightarrow
- b. *nògósà lè à bì nàlà

- (37) Intensifiers, lexically specific adverbs
- a. \grave{a} $gb\acute{e}t\acute{a}$ $l\grave{e}$ $\uparrow p\acute{o}s$ \grave{a} $gb\acute{e}$ -ta $l\grave{e}$ $\uparrow p\acute{o}s$ 3sg be.clean-pfv.i fp intsf

'It is totally clean (it is not just a little bit clean)!'

b. *à gbétá ↑pós lè

Onomatopoea (38)–(39).

- (38) nìngéè báá fôlá lè múú (náw máa dε) nìngi-È bi-à fố-la lè mùu náw máa dε cow-art be-3sg say-ger fp "moo" "meow" id.neg emph 'Cow makes "moo" and not "meow".' *nìngéè báá fôlá múú lè (náw máa dε)
- (39) jéè báà mála lè córr córr corr júi-è bi-à má-la lè córrcorrcorr water-ART be-3SG do-GER FP IDEO 'The water was falling: PLOP-PLOP-PLOP!' (bayimanu_SNKeita_2010_019)

4.2 Adverbials hosting focus particle in situ

Adverbs and postpositional phrases of time, manner and place can host $l\dot{e}$.

- (40) à nàtà kúnùn dè / kúnùn dè à nàtà à nà-ta kúnùn lè 3sG come-PFV.I yesterday FP 'He arrived YESTERDAY.'
- (41) à bì bòrilá dòyì lè à bi bòri-la dòyi lè 3sG be run-GER slowly FP 'He runs SLOWLY'

(42) à kìnnògòtà bónè là lè à kìnnogo-ta bón-È la lè 3sG come-pfv.i house-art obl fp 'He slept in the house.'

5. Summary and a tentative explanation

Truncated CP is an island for in situ focalization of subconstituents.

Infinitives, adverbial and relativized clauses have truncated CP structure in Kakabe.

Expressive adverbs, ideophones, names in naming constructions, appear in the CP position.

Gerunds and nominalizations display the same behavior as complex DPs that are transparent for sub-constituent focalizations and can also be focused as whole in situ.

Abbreviations

ART – referential article PASS – passive

BNF – benefactive PC.ST – stative participle

COP – copula PFV.OF – perfective with operator focus

CP – constituent phrase PFV.I – intransitive perfective

(without operator focus)

DIM – diminutive PFV.TR – transitive perfective

(without operator focus)

DP – determiner phrase PL – plural

F – focus Poss – possessive
FD – focus domain POT – potential

FP – focus particle PST – past

G-given Q-interrogative

 $\mathsf{GER}-\mathsf{gerund} \qquad \qquad \mathsf{QUD}-\mathsf{question} \; \mathsf{under} \; \mathsf{discussion}$

 ${\tt IDENT-identificational\ copula} \qquad {\tt REL-relativizer}$

NEG – negation SG – singular OBL – oblique SBJV – subjunctive

OF - operator focus

References

Vydrina, Alexandra. 2017. A corpus-based description of Kakabe, a Western Mande language: prosody in grammar. Paris: INALCO Ph.D. dissertation.

Vydrina, Alexandra. 2020a. Topicality in sentence focus utterances. *Studies in Language* 44(3). 501–547.

Vydrina, Alexandra. 2020b. Operator focus in discourse and grammar: the two perfectives in Kakabe. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 41(1). 99–145.