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Abstract: This article gives an overview of the cardinal and ordinal numerals in Longuda, a language cluster in north-eastern Nigeria, belonging to the Adamawa branch of the Niger-Congo languages. It focuses on three of its five varieties, namely Deele, Guyuk and Gwaanda, analysing the morphology of the numerals, their behaviour in a noun phrase and the derivation of ordinal numerals from cardinal numerals. It becomes clear that numerals in Longuda are neither adjectives nor nouns but should rather be analysed as being on a scale in-between those lexical categories. The tendency in the languages of the world that lower ordinal numerals are suppletive forms while higher ones are regularly derived from cardinal numerals applies to Longuda as well. At the end of the article, the findings of Longuda numerals are compared to other Adamawa languages and the Niger-Congo family as a whole as compiled by Boyd (1989) and Pozdniakov (2018).
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1. Introduction

Longuda, also known as Nungurama, is a language cluster of five dialects or varieties, namely Cerin, Deele, Guyuk, Gwaanda and Kola (Kleinewillinghöfer 1996, Sabe 2014). The Longuda cluster is generally classified as a separate group within the Adamawa languages (e.g. in Kleinewillinghöfer (2020: 223); as group 10 in Greenberg (1963: 9) and subgroup V in Blench (2013)). It is spoken in the north-east of Nigeria, mainly in Adamawa State but also in Gombe State.

As can be seen in the examples (1a) to (1c), a noun phrase in Longuda typically starts with the head noun and is followed by its attributes which is characteristic for Adamawa languages and most Sub-Saharan languages in general (Dryer 2013; Kleinewillinghöfer
2020: 226). It should be noted that example (1c) is an elicited sentence and would rarely occur in spontaneous speech¹.

(1) a. Deele
\[bə̄lĩ fár-hâ nā-hâ-kwâ\]
cow.CL4 red-CL4 NUM-CL4-two
‘two red cows’
b. Guyuk
\[zɩ̄-tə̄ náː-ø-sɩ̄-náː-tā-mà\]
snake-CL8 NUM-CL8-two CL8-DEM
‘those two snakes (out of sight)’
c. Guyuk
\[dʒwá-b sînɩ̀-b nà-b-sîr bî-mà jî-bè\]
child-CL2 tall-CL2 NUM-CL2-two CL2-DEM POSS-CL2
‘those two tall children are mine’ (lit. ‘those my two tall children’)

Besides showing the order of attributes in a noun phrase, the sentences above also give an insight into the fully developed noun class system with overt class marking on the noun as well as agreement on (most of) the attributes in a noun phrase. The noun classes are suffixed to the noun stem. Sometimes, they are shortened (1c) or even deleted (1a).² Adjectives and possessive pronouns agree with the noun by means of a suffix whereas demonstrative pronouns make use of an agreement prefix. Numerals show a more divers picture as will be demonstrated in the upcoming chapters.

---

¹ Examples without an indication of a source are my own.
² Elstermann, Fiedler & Güldemann (2019) take a different approach to this phenomenon. They propose that the “A-form [noun class affix of the shape CV] is derived from the T-form [noun class affix without the final vowel] by adding a final element -a” (Elstermann et. al 2019: 6). According to their data, the A-form is used as a citation form as well as in combination with the definite marker whereas the T-form is used in a noun phrase with an adjective. My data does not support this view entirely. Since this article is not primarily concerned with short and long noun class suffixes, it will not be discussed here any further.
An outline of the class system is presented in Table 1. It shows the noun suffixes although agreement affixes coincide with them (with the exception of class 6 which in some cases has an agreement marker труд (not relevant for this article)). In this article, I number the noun classes as well as their agreement affixes consecutively for the sake of convenience and for easier comprehensibility (capital letter A stands for either -a or -ə/-e depending on the advanced tongue root feature of the vowels of the respective lexeme).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>noun affixes</th>
<th>singular</th>
<th>plural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl1</td>
<td>-yA</td>
<td>cl2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl3</td>
<td>-wA</td>
<td>cl4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl5</td>
<td>-lA</td>
<td>cl6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl7</td>
<td>-kA</td>
<td>cl8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cl9</td>
<td>-mA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerals in the world’s languages can be divided into two groups “with different functional domains” (Stolz & Veselinova 2013). Cardinal numerals are used to specify nouns by being attributes of a noun in

---

3 Güldemann & Fiedler (2019) reappraise the “traditional” view on gender systems in Niger-Congo languages. They criticize “a consistent alliterative one-to-one mapping of agreement and nominal form classes conflated under the philological concept of ‘noun class’” (Güldemann & Fiedler 2019: 95) and propose a new methodological approach with the four concepts agreement class, gender, nominal form class and deriflection (ibid.: 97). Relating it to Longuda, the agreement class would entail the affixes of attributes in a noun phrase with “identical behaviour across all agreement contexts” (ibid.: 98) whereas the markers on the nouns with “identical properties in morphophonological form” (Elstermann et. al 2019: 2) form a nominal form class. This approach in regard to Longuda will be discussed in detail elsewhere but will not be subject of this present article.
a noun phrase while ordinal numerals “typically identify the position a given member of a set occupies relative to other members of the same set” (ibid.). In most languages, they are derived from cardinal numerals, sometimes the lower ordinal numerals have suppletive forms (Dryer 2007: 164).

This article gives an overview on cardinal and ordinal numerals in Longuda which not only show dialectal differences but are morphologically exceptional in comparison to other Adamawa languages. The focus will be on three of the five Longuda varieties, namely Deele, Guyuk and Gwaanda. For the other two varieties Kɔla and Cerin little more than the numerals from ‘one’ to ‘hundred’ are known therefore they will only be discussed in the general overview in §2.1. In the following chapter, the structure of cardinal numerals and agreement strategies within a noun phrase will be discussed, compared to cross-linguistic tendencies and applied to universals formulated by Corbett (1978). Chapter 3 will show how ordinal numerals are derived from cardinal numerals and compare the results to a survey undertaken by Stolz & Veselinova (2013). It will also explore how ordinal numerals agree with the head noun in a noun phrase. Finally, the Longuda numerals will be compared to those of other Adamawa languages and more generally to other Niger-Congo languages.

The data on Deele and Guyuk, if not otherwise indicated, was collected in Nigeria in March and April 2019 as well as January and February 2020. The Gwaanda data stems from unpublished texts, questionnaires and field notes collected by Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer from 1990 to 1995 (indicated in square brackets after the examples) and myself in 2017 as well as from two published books on different aspects of Gwaanda (Hiraki 1986; Sabe 2014).

2. Cardinal numerals

The formation of cardinal numerals can differ significantly as Hurford (2001: 10758) states:
“[…] most languages have simple numeral words for values up to 10, and then resort to syntactic combinations. After 10, almost without exception in any language, the only values for which there are morphologically simple words are those used as multiplicative bases, such as 20, 100, 1,000, and so on.”

In addition to a range of simple and complex numerals the classification of numerals is often very diverse. In many instances, numerals are classified with adjectives despite the many exceptions. Corbett (1978: 368) takes this into account and proposes a universal: “(1) simple cardinal numerals fall between adjectives and nouns”. Borchardt (2011: 12) agrees: “Indeed, it is difficult to find any non-restricted numeral system\textsuperscript{4} whose numerals behave completely alike throughout the whole system”. She comes to the conclusion that numerals should therefore be treated as a distinct lexical category in grammar.

According to Corbett (1978: 368) there is a tendency for lower numerals to be more adjective-like while higher numerals are more noun-like as he suggests in his second universal regarding cardinal numerals: “(2) if they vary in behaviour it is the higher which will be more noun-like.” Hurford (2001: 10757) specifies the universals by adding that the more adjective-like numerals are normally the first few numerals up to ‘two’, ‘three’ or ‘four’ whereas noun-like numerals are “especially those used as multiplicative bases, such as hundred and million” and “do not agree in gender with a head noun” (Hurford 1994: 38).

2.1. Cardinal numerals in general counting

In this chapter, the cardinal numerals as used in general counting will be discussed. There will be an overview on the numerals from ‘one’

\textsuperscript{4} “Restricted numeral systems only have a limited set of numeral expressions and the end point L of the system is quite low in the number value” (Borchardt 2011: 11).
to ‘hundred’ in all five varieties followed by a detailed description and analysis. An examination of the underlying structure of the cardinal numerals is crucial in order to understand their behaviour in a noun phrase with its agreement markers (§2.1 to §2.4) and to comprehend the derivations used to form ordinal numerals out of cardinal numerals (§3.1 to §3.3).

The cardinal numerals employ a decimal system as is described in Comrie (2013). In Table 2 the numerals from ‘one’ to ‘hundred’ in all five varieties of Longuda are presented.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardinal numerals 1-100⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kọla</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘1’ laatwè</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘2’ naakwé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘3’ naatsó</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘4’ néényír</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘5’ naanyó</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘6’ tsaatōn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘7’ ínénnyír</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘8’ nyútítn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘9’ énàάnyír</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁵ All Kọla examples from 11 to 100, as well as Cerin examples 15, 20 and 100 come from Kleinewillinghöfer (2014a; unpublished wordlists), Cerin examples 11, 12 and 30 are cited from Newman & Newman (1977: 18), Gwaanda examples from 11 to 100 originate from Sabe (2014). The cardinal numerals quoted from the different sources were adopted without changes and therefore differ in their spelling from the other numerals. The only exception is c that replaces ch.
Before discussing every numeral in turn, the recurring and therefore striking morpheme naː- should be mentioned. Numeral ‘one’ in Guyuk and Cerin as well as ‘two’ to ‘five’ in all dialects exhibit this initial naː-. Synchronically, it can be analysed as an initial numeral marker (NUM) which is then followed by the numeral root. Diachronically, it can be argued that it is the root of the word naka ‘hand’ (with -ka being the noun class marker) that used to form a compound with the numeral word, its meaning being ‘X items of a hand’, i.e. ‘X fingers’. It would confirm the crosslinguistic observation for the use of ‘hand’ as becoming a general numeral marker or base for complex numerals, as was shown e.g. by Epps (2006) for the Amazonian Nadahup language family, by Boyd (1989) for the Adamawa languages or by Pozdniakov (2018) for other sub-groups of the Niger-Congo family. Another possible explanation is that this morpheme originates from the lexeme for ‘thing’ nɩ̀yá (Guyuk) or ná’à (Deele). It would be in line with Möller
Nwadigo’s⁶ (p.c.) analysis of the morpheme ni- in Baa numerals that show structural similarities to Longuda (Chan 2020).

There are three different lexemes within the dialects for numeral ‘one’: laatwe, kaliwa/kalila and naːkal. It is interesting to note that all varieties but Kɔla use the same numeral root kal but form the lexeme differently. Guyuk and Cerin add the abovementioned numeral marker naː- while Deele and Gwaanda attach an epenthetic vowel and an agreement marker -wa or -la. It seems that a few decades ago Deele speakers used kaliwa and kalila interchangeably while nowadays kaliwa is the only accepted form and is therefore lexicalised whereas Gwaanda established kalila.

The numerals ‘two’ to ‘five’ all add the numeral marker naː- to the numeral root. A curious phenomenon is shown with the numerals ‘two’ and ‘three’ which are swapped in certain varieties.⁷ This also has an impact on numerals ‘six’ and ‘seven’ as described below.

In Kɔla and Deele ‘six’ is a compound of numeral ‘three’ and -tən/-tan which exact meaning is not yet known but presumably has the notion of ‘double’ or ‘twice’. The other three dialects use a morphologically more complex construction with the lexeme for ‘hand’ naka, the numeral marker and numeral ‘three’ which could be paraphrased as ‘three of each hand’.

Numeral ‘seven’ is a complex numeral composed of ‘four’ and ‘three’ in all five varieties, even though the lexeme ‘three’ differs in the varieties due to the swapping of ‘two’ and ‘three’.

A very consistent lexeme throughout all varieties is numeral ‘eight’. The first syllable ju- is probably the root of ‘four’ in which case -tun should have the function of a reduplicator (see also explanation for ‘six’).

---

⁶ Mirjam Möller Nwadigo is currently a Ph.D. fellow at the research unit “Langage, Langues et Cultures d’Afrique” at the CNRS in Paris. She works on the Adamawa language Baa in Nigeria.

⁷ I do not have an explanation for this phenomenon for now. A hypothesis on which is the original order is presented in section 4.
Numeral ‘nine’ is a copulative compound of ‘four’ and ‘five’, though the order of the numerals differs in Kɔla and Deele from the other three varieties.

There are three different lexemes for numeral ‘ten’. The forms of Kɔla, Deele and Gwaanda have an Adamawa origin, as will be shown below. The origin of nwɔm is unknown whereas gum is likely a borrowing from the Chadic language Dera (also called Kanakuru) gûm ‘ten’, the language the Guyuk are in contact with in the “Gongola Basin” (Kraft 1981: 131).

All numerals above ‘ten’ are formed by regular morphological processes that differ in the varieties. Numerals ‘eleven’, ‘twelve’ etc. are a combination of ‘ten’ and the single numeral connected by a morpheme yɩr or yɩrʋ. Kɔla has a different strategy by adding the single numeral to (koo) ní cûbře where koo is facultative and can be dropped in fast speech (Kleinewillinghöfer p.c.). The origin of the expression ní cûbře is unknown.

The language varieties employ slightly different derivational methods for the decimal numerals ‘twenty’ to ‘ninety’. They all take the single numerals ‘two’, ‘three’ etc. as a base and combine it with ‘ten’ and ‘hand’ or one of those. In Deele, kûtã is a nominalisation of ‘ten’ using the noun class suffix -ta and nátà is the plural form of nákà ‘hand’. Both realise their noun class suffix as -tə in this phonological environment. Kɔla uses a similar strategy but leaves out the noun class suffixes. Gwaanda reduplicates the numeral marker na- and Guyuk uses the plural form nata with its phonological change to nato.

For numeral ‘hundred’, Kɔla, Guyuk and Cerin have an invariable lexeme that still shows a former noun class suffix (-we) which is now lexicalised. In Deele and Gwaanda ‘hundred’ is formed by nominalisations of ‘ten’ and ‘one’, its development being unclear since mathematically ‘ten’ and ‘one’ do not equal ‘hundred’.

The structure of the numerals described above can be summarized as shown in Table 3.

---

8 So far gûm was only recorded in counting, not in noun phrases.
### Table 3
Structure of cardinal numerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kola</th>
<th>Deele</th>
<th>Gwaanda</th>
<th>Guyuk</th>
<th>Cerin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘1’</td>
<td>1-AGR</td>
<td>1-AGR</td>
<td>1-AGR</td>
<td>NUM-1</td>
<td>NUM-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘2’</td>
<td>NUM-2</td>
<td>NUM-2</td>
<td>NUM-2</td>
<td>NUM-2</td>
<td>NUM-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘3’</td>
<td>NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘4’</td>
<td>NUM-4</td>
<td>NUM-4</td>
<td>NUM-4</td>
<td>NUM-4</td>
<td>NUM-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘5’</td>
<td>NUM-5</td>
<td>NUM-5</td>
<td>NUM-5</td>
<td>NUM-5</td>
<td>NUM-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘6’</td>
<td>3-?</td>
<td>3-?</td>
<td>NUM-NOM-NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-NOM-NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-NOM-NUM-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘7’</td>
<td>NUM-4-NUM-3</td>
<td>4-NUM-3</td>
<td>4-NUM-3</td>
<td>4-NUM-3</td>
<td>4-NUM-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘8’</td>
<td>4-?</td>
<td>4-?</td>
<td>4-?</td>
<td>4-?</td>
<td>4-?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘9’</td>
<td>NUM-5-NUM-4</td>
<td>NUM-5-NUM-4</td>
<td>4-NUM-5</td>
<td>4-NUM-5</td>
<td>4-NUM-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘10’</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘11’ (10) ?</td>
<td>1-AGR</td>
<td>10-CON-1-AGR</td>
<td>10-NOM-CON-1-AGR</td>
<td>10-CON-NUM-1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘30’</td>
<td>10-NUM-3</td>
<td>10-NOM-NUM-NOM-3</td>
<td>NUM-NUM-3</td>
<td>NUM-NOM-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘100’</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10-NOM-1-AGR</td>
<td>10-NOM-1-AGR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Agreement on cardinal numerals in noun phrases

As was shown before, attributes in a noun phrase generally follow the head noun and agree with its noun class through suffixes (adjectives, possessive pronouns) or prefixes (demonstrative pronouns). Cardinal numerals show a more divers pattern which will be discussed in the following subsections.

2.2.1. Deele

In Deele, numeral ‘one’ shows a suffixal agreement marker.

(2) a. ná-k̬a⁹ k̬ål̬i-k̬a
    hand-CL7 one-CL7
    ‘one hand’

b. yír k̬ål̬i-yā
    man.CLI one-CL1
    ‘one man’

Numerals ‘two’ to ‘five’ agree with the head noun through a prefix. Therefore, the numeral marker na- as well as the agreement marker precede the numeral root.⁹

⁹ Vowels in all Longuda varieties are subject to the advanced tongue root (ATR) vowel harmony, affixes and clitics assimilate to the vowels of the head (Kleinewillinghöfer 1994; 1996). In fast speech, the final -a of a lexeme or morpheme can be weakened to a schwa if another lexeme or morpheme is following, making it possible for a [+ATR] vowel (in this case [ə]) to enter a lexeme with [-ATR] vowels. The appearance of the schwa has become the lexicalised form in some expressions (see e.g. the cardinal numeral ‘six’ in Gwaanda, Guyuk and Cerin (§2.1)).

¹⁰ It is possible to analyse the agreement marker as a suffix of the numeral marker na-. However, as will be shown later on in this subsection, the numeral marker can be dropped under certain circumstances, leaving only the bare numeral word. This leads me to the analysis of the numeral word to be the root and both na- and the agreement marker as being prefixes of that root.
(3) a. bōlī-hó  nā-hā-kwá  
cow-cl4  NUM-cl4-two  
‘two cows’

b. yí-b  nā-b-ɲó  
man-cl2  NUM-cl2-five  
‘five men’

The numerals ‘six’ to ‘ten’ as well as ‘twenty’, ‘thirty’ up to ‘hundred’ are invariables hence agreement marking is absent.

(4) a. bōlī-hó  sātān  
cow-cl4  six  
‘six cows’

b. yīr-bā  sātān  
woman-cl2  six  
‘six women’

c. bōlī-hó  kótōnātōkwá  
cow-cl4  twenty  
‘twenty cows’

d. bāl-tā  kótōnātōkwá  
stick-cl8  twenty  
‘twenty sticks’

e. bōlī-hó  kōlōkälīwà  
cow-cl4  hundred  
‘one hundred cows’

f. yí-b  kōlōkälīwà  
man-cl2  hundred  
‘one hundred men’

Syntactically complex numerals, such as ‘eleven’, ‘twelve’, ‘twenty-one’ etc., are combinations of numerals that are invariable (‘ten’, ‘twenty’ etc.) and numerals that agree with the head noun (‘one’, ‘two’ etc.). Therefore, agreement marking in such complex numerals is carried out on the same elements. The numerals with ‘one’ (i.e. ‘eleven’,
‘twenty-one’, ‘thirty-one’ etc.) agree with the singular class of the head noun. Thus, in (5a) and (5c), the noun class marker is -hó while the agreement marker is -wà.

(5) a. bə́l̥í-hó  kô  yír  kāl̥i-wà
    cow-cl4  ten  con  one-cl3
    ‘eleven cows’

b. bə́l̥í-hó  kô  yír  ná-hå-kwá
    cow-cl4  ten  con  num-cl4-two
    ‘twelve cows’

c. bə́l̥í-hó  kótnátnákswá  yír  kāl̥i-wà
    cow-cl4  twenty  con  one-cl3
    ‘twenty-one cows’

If there is more than one attribute in a noun phrase including a numeral, agreement marking on the numeral is not compulsory as is the case in (6a) and (7a). In addition, the numeral marker naː- is deleted. Agreement marking on the demonstrative pronoun, in contrast, is still obligatory. If the amount is emphasized, i.e. if it is important to state that there are two and not for example three shirts, the full numeral with the numeral marker and the agreement marker must be used. Therefore, the use of nátnákwá instead of kwí (the vowel change only concerns ‘two’) in (6b) and nátnásár instead of sár in (7b) changes the meaning of the sentences.

(6) a. dí-tá  kwí  tʲi-bò
    shirt-cl8  two  cl8-dem
    ‘these two shirts’

b. dí-tá  ná-tå-kwá  tʲi-bò
    shirt-cl8  num-cl8-two  cl8-dem
    ‘these two shirts (not three)’

(7) a. dí-tá  sár  tʲi-bò
    shirt-cl8  three  cl8-dem
    ‘these three shirts’
b. ɗí-tó  nā-tō-sár  tī-bò
    shirt-CL8  NUM-CL8-three  CL8-DEM
    ‘these THREE shirts (not two)’

2.2.2. Guyuk

Agreement marking on numerals in Guyuk is less diverse than in Deele. Numerals ‘one’ to ‘seven’ as well as ‘nine’ agree through a prefix with the noun class of the head noun.

(8) a. bə̄lĩ-wè  nà-ò-kỳ́l
    cow-CL3  NUM-CL3-one
    ‘one cow’

b. bə̀lĩ-hē  nà-hà-sir
    cow-CL4  NUM-CL4-two
    ‘two cows’

c. bə̀lĩ-hē  ɲī-ɲà-hà-ɲó
    cow-CL4  four-NUM-CL4-five
    ‘nine cows’

Numerals ‘eight’ as well as all decimal numerals (‘ten’, ‘twenty’, ‘thirty’ etc.) and ‘hundred’ are invariable and therefore do not agree with the head noun.

(9) a. bə̀lĩ-hē  jútìn
    cow-CL4  eight
    ‘eight cows’

b. bə̀lĩ-hē  nòm
    cow-CL4  ten
    ‘ten cows’

c. bə̀lĩ-hē  nàtisir
    cow-CL4  twenty
    ‘twenty cows’

d. bə̀lĩ-hē  pùlèwè
    cow-CL4  hundred
    ‘hundred cows’
Syntactically complex numerals show agreement marking with the head noun on the last element of the numeral (see also §2.3) as is shown in the following examples. Those numerals ending with ‘one’ agree with the singular noun class of the head noun (10a).

(10) a. *bɔlĩ-hẽ nʷɔm yɪrõ nā-õ-kâl*
    cow-CL4 ten CON NUM-CL3-one
    ‘eleven cows’

    b. *bɔlĩ-hẽ nʷɔm yɪrõ nā-hâ-sir*
    cow-CL4 ten CON NUM-CL4-two
    ‘twelve cows’

2.2.3. Gwaanda

Gwaanda seems to employ the same strategies as Deele: Numeral ‘one’ has a suffix as an agreement marker, numerals ‘two’ to ‘five’ agree with a prefix while ‘six’ to ‘nine’, ‘ten’, ‘twenty’ etc. as well as ‘hundred’ are invariables.¹¹

(11) a. *gihĩŋ kəlĩ-ya*
    wife one-CL1
    ‘one wife’ [S-N3]¹²

    b. *beliŋ-ha na-ha-tsər*
    cow-CL4 NUM-CL4-two
    ‘two cows’ [S-N3]

¹¹ All examples (phrases and sentences) in Gwaanda (§2.5 and §3.3) are from texts and questionnaires written by Gwaanda mother tongue speakers. They were adopted without changes and therefore differ in their spelling from other examples. Hyphens and equal signs were added by the author for the sake of better understanding and detailed interlinearisation.

¹² Examples with a code in square brackets are from different unpublished sources provided to me by Ulrich Kleinewillinghöfer. S-N3 is a list with different sentences in Gwaanda.
c. fin-thó nàkónàkwì
flower-cl8 six
‘six flowers’ [WL-N5]¹³

d. yi-b nánànyùà
man-cl2 fifty
‘fifty men’ (Hiraki 1986: 142)

e. dzûrnyà-hà kûlôkálîwà
scorpion-cl4 hundred
‘hundred scorpions’ [WL-N5]

The data on agreement marking on syntactically complex numerals such as ‘eleven’, ‘twelve’ etc. is very sparse and ambiguous and will therefore not be presented here.

2.3. Summary

In §2.1 the structure of the numerals as used in counting without reference to a particular noun were examined in detail. It was shown that Longuda cardinal numerals only partially agree with Hurford’s (2001: 10758) statement that numerals up to ‘ten’ are generally morphologically and syntactically simpler than higher numerals. Not all numerals in Longuda from ‘one’ to ‘ten’ are simple words (especially ‘six’, ‘seven’ and ‘nine’ in most Longuda varieties are quite complex morphologically), although the tendency to have syntactically simpler words in the first ten numerals than from ‘eleven’ upwards is clear.

The remaining sections dealt with the agreement strategies of numerals in a noun phrase. It became clear that cardinal numerals in a noun phrase show different strategies of agreement marking (suffixing, prefixing and invariability). The fact that the numerals for ‘one’ in Deele and Gwaanda, being inherently singular, behave differently than all other numerals, that are inherently plural, is not an uncommon occurrence as Zweig (2005: 11) has shown. The different agreement strategies make it difficult to place the numerals in the same lexical

¹³ WL-N5 is a list with different phrases and sentences in Gwaanda.
category as adjectives for example. In this regard, Longuda conforms to Corbett’s (1978: 368) first universal that places numerals in-between adjectives and nouns. It should therefore be analysed as a distinct lexical category as Borchardt (2011:12) proposes. Corbett’s second universal that higher numerals “will be more noun-like” (1978: 368) applies to Longuda numerals as well (compare Zweig 2005: 5ff.). Numeral ‘one’ in both Deele and Guyuk clearly behaves adjective-like whereas lexemes that express higher values were nominalised at an earlier stage and are now mostly invariable. Therefore, they appear to be more noun-like.

The different agreement strategies employed by numerals in a noun phrase are summarized in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement strategies of numerals in a noun phrase</th>
<th>Deele and Gwaanda</th>
<th>Guyuk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘1’</td>
<td>Suffix</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘2’–‘5’</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘6’–‘7’</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘8’</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘9’</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘10’–‘100’</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
<td>Invariable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Ordinal numerals

Ordinal numerals “identify a referent in terms of its order with respect to other referents” (Dryer 2007: 164). They are generally classified as adjectives, being derived from cardinal numerals by morphological or syntactic means. Stolz & Veselinova (2013) conducted a cross-linguistic survey, analysing a sample of 321 languages in regard to the morphological relation between cardinal and ordinal numerals.
They found eight recurring patterns in languages, from non-existent ordinal numerals to regular derivation to a number of suppletive forms. Their results show that especially the lower ordinal numerals tend to be suppletive forms of the cardinal numeral counterpart, whereas higher ordinal numerals mostly derive morphologically from cardinal numerals in a regular manner (Hurford 2001: 10758; Stolz & Veselinova 2013).

3.1. Deele

The ordinal numeral ‘first’ in Deele is a suppletive form to the cardinal numeral root ‘one’. ŋíŋərə̄- ‘first’ derives from the verb ŋíŋərə’ə ‘to begin’ (see also Gwaanda).

(12)  

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{\text{hand-CL7}} & {\text{first-CL7}} \\
{\text{ná-kó}} & {\text{ŋíŋərə̄-ké}} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘first hand’

The ordinal numeral ‘second’ kóryá- is a suppletive root of the cardinal numeral root kwá ‘two’.\(^{14}\) It behaves like the forms for ‘third’ to ‘fifth’. These are regularly derived from the cardinal numerals by adding a derivational suffix -yá to the stem of the numeral. They then agree with the head noun through an agreement suffix.

(13) a.  

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{\text{hand-CL7}} & {\text{two-ORD-CL7}} \\
{\text{ná-kó}} & {\text{kóryá-ká}} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘second hand’

b.  

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{\text{cow-CL3}} & {\text{three-ORD-CL3}} \\
{\text{bōlí-wá}} & {\text{sár-yá-wá}} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘third cow’

c.  

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{\text{person-CL1}} & {\text{five-ORD-CL1}} \\
{\text{yír}} & {\text{jó-yá-yá}} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘fifth person’

\(^{14}\) They are probably etymologically related.
All following numerals until ‘ninety’, in contrast, have no derivational marker -yā but do add an agreement suffix.

(14) a. bə̄lĩ-wā  sátān-wā  
cow-CL3  six-CL3 
’sixth cow’
b. yīr  kō-yó  
man.CL1  ten-CL1  
tenth man’
c. yàpĩl  kῶ  yīr  kālĩ-wā  
sheep.CL3  ten  CON  one-CL3  
‘eleventh sheep’
d. yīr  kútɔn̄atɔkwẽ-yã  
man.CL1  twenty-CL1  
‘twentieth man’

The formation of the ordinal numeral with ‘hundred’ was unknown to the speakers.

3.2. Guyuk

The form for ‘first’ agrees with the preceding head noun.

(15) zĩ-kã  kilãv-kã  
town-CL7  first-CL7.DEF  
‘the first town’

All other ordinal numerals up to ‘tenth’ are derived by means of adding another numeral marker na- before the cardinal numeral. An agreement marker is suffixed in a noun phrase.

(16) a. zĩ-kã  nā-nākwãi-kã  
town-CL7  NUM-three-CL7.DEF  
‘the third town’
b. bəlĩ-w  nā-n“óm-wò  
cow-CL3  NUM-ten-CL3.DEF  
‘the tenth cow’
Ordinal numerals from ‘eleventh’ to ‘ninetieth’ (‘hundredth’ and above was unknown to the native speakers) show no derivational marker but an agreement suffix.

(17) a. ³sɪŋɡɪlá nwɔ̂m yɪr nàsɪr-wò
   sheep.cl3 ten con two-cl3.def
   ‘twelfth sheep’

   b. ³sɪŋɡɪlá ná tíísɪr-wò
       sheep.cl3 twenty-cl3.def
       ‘twentieth sheep’

In Newman (1976: 65), the lexemes for ‘first’, ‘second’, ‘fifth’ and ‘tenth’ are mentioned. These lexemes seem to have an agreement suffix -la and a clitic =u marking definiteness but no second numeral marker (na-). No more information and no example sentences with a head noun they refer to are given:

nakalau ‘first’
nasirlau ‘second’
nanyolau ‘fifth’
nwamla ‘tenth’ (Newman 1976: 65)

3.3. Gwaanda

The ordinal numeral ‘first’ has the two suppletive forms kámúndá- and cíngóró-. The latter derives from the verb ‘to begin/start’ cíngír.

(18) a. dzúín kámúndá-yá=ù
    child.cl1 first-cl1=def
    ‘the first child’ (Hiraki 1986: 142)

   b. yínɔ̃ jùn-ì cíngóró-ì=ù
       cop famine-cl5 first-cl5=def
       ‘this was the first famine’ [B-N1]¹⁵

¹⁵ B-N1 contains interviews on the history of the Longuda people and songs.
From what the data on Gwaanda shows, ordinal numerals for ‘second’ and ‘third’ are derived from the cardinal numerals by adding a suffix -yá to the stem of the numeral which is then followed by an agreement marker.

(19) a. *yàtsa*   *tsír-yá-wé*   *wā-yù*
   visit.CL3  two-ORD-CL3  CL3-3SG.POSS
   ‘his second visit’ (Hiraki 1986: 143)

b. *tsóú*   *tsír-yá-wá=ù*
   house.CL3  two-ORD-CL3=DEF
   ‘the second house’ (Hiraki 1986: 142)

c. *àyàbà*   *nànyìr*   *kúr-yá-á*   *ín-yù*
   banana  four  three-ORD-CL6  CL6-3SG
   ‘her third four bananas’ (Hiraki 1986: 143)

There are no data available for ordinal numerals from ‘fourth’ to ‘ninth’. Numerals from ‘tenth’ take the stem of the respective cardinal numeral and add either an agreement marker or an ordinal suffix. Since they are formally almost identical and no other data with different noun class agreement is available the following examples are glossed with a question mark in the meantime.

(20) a. *yìr*   *kùwàr-yá=ù*
   person.CL1  ten-?=DEF
   ‘the tenth person’ (Hiraki 1986: 142)

b. *gáhíng*   *kùwòr*   *yór*   *nàtsó-r-yó=ù*
   woman.CL1  ten  CON  two-?=DEF
   ‘the twelfth woman’ [WL-N5]

c. *gáhíng*   *nàndótsó-r-yó=ù*
   woman.CL1  twenty-?=DEF
   ‘the twentieth woman’ [WL-N5]
3.4. Summary

As was described above, lower ordinal numerals tend to be suppletive forms while higher ordinal numerals derive regularly from cardinal numerals (Hurford 2001: 10758; Stolz & Veselinova 2013). Longuda ordinal numerals fit into the commonest type of patterns (worldwide and across Africa) where ‘first’ is a suppletive form while all other numerals are regularly derived.

The numeral ‘first’ is a suppletive form in Deele and Gwaanda and perhaps in Guyuk, too. The other ordinal numerals are derived from cardinal numerals although the manner in which the derivation is done differs in the varieties. Deele and Gwaanda add an ordinal suffix -\(\text{ya}\) to the root of lower cardinal numerals. Guyuk prefixes another numeral marker \(\text{na-}\).

It is evident that higher ordinal numerals tend to not be derived by any markers but simply by adding the respective agreement suffix. This means that, just as with the cardinal numerals, there is a split in formation of the ordinal numerals. The results are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5

| Formation of cardinal numerals and their agreement strategies in a noun phrase |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| ‘1\(^{st}\)’            | suppletive-AGR         | 1-AGR                  | suppletive-AGR(=DEF) |
| ‘2\(^{nd}\)’           | suppletive-ORD-AGR     | NUM-2-AGR(.DEF)        | 2-ORD-AGR(=DEF)      |
| ‘3\(^{rd}\)’           | 3-ORD-AGR              | NUM-3-AGR(.DEF)        | 3-ORD-AGR(=DEF)      |
| ‘4\(^{th}\)–5\(^{th}\)’ | 4-ORD-AGR              | NUM-4-AGR(.DEF)        |                       |
| ‘6\(^{th}\)–9\(^{th}\)’ | 6-AGR                  | NUM-6-AGR(.DEF)        |                       |
| ‘10\(^{th}\)’          | 10-AGR                 | NUM-10-AGR(.DEF)       | 10-ORD/AGR?(=DEF)    |
| ‘11\(^{th}\)–99\(^{th}\)’ | 11-AGR                 | 11-AGR(.DEF)           | 11-ORD/AGR?(=DEF)    |
4. Comparison to other Adamawa languages

Since numerals in Adamawa languages are quite heterogeneous, it is difficult to compare them with each other or reconstruct proto forms. Nevertheless, Pozdniakov (2018) as well as Boyd (1989) have made attempts to reconstruct Adamawa numerals and in the following a few of their remarks will be compared to Longuda.

In most Adamawa languages numeral ‘six’ is a compound of ‘five’ plus ‘one’ (Pozdniakov 2018: 164). Longuda has two forms in the different varieties, both of which are different from the ‘five’ plus ‘one’ pattern. Instead, they use numeral ‘three’ as a base. As stated above, sātān of Deele is probably a compound of the numeral ‘three’ and a yet unknown other element. Pozdniakov (ibid.), in contrast, suggests it may go back to the Chadian Arabic word for ‘six’ sitːe.

Pozdniakov (2018: 167) states that “a primary term for ‘nine’ was apparently non-existent in Proto-Adamawa”. Common patterns are ‘five’ plus ‘four’ or ‘ten’ minus ‘one’. Deele has the common ‘five’ plus ‘four’ pattern but Guyuk has it the other way around: ‘four’ plus ‘five’. According to Boyd (1989: 167) a compound of ‘four’ plus ‘five’ is only present if numeral ‘eight’ is not a compound. Since ‘eight’ njitìn is suspected to be a compound of ‘four’ and an unknown element, Guyuk seems to be an exception of Boyd’s claim.

Finally, as has been stated above, the lexeme for ‘ten’ koo, kô or kʋ̄r in Kɔla, Deele and Gwaanda respectively, fit into the reconstructed form of Adamawa languages for ‘ten’ *kob (Pozdniakov 2018: 168). Boyd (1989: 168) comes to the same conclusion for a subgroup of Adamawa languages but hints towards the lexeme gomà for ‘ten’ in Hausa. It seems like he suggests gomà to be the original source of *kob. If this is true, gûm in Guyuk and Cerin has the same origin as the lexemes in Kɔla, Deele and Gwaanda have. However, as was suggested above, a borrowing from the Dera word for ‘ten’ gûm is more likely.

According to Pozdniakov (2018: 15),

“[i]n the majority of Niger-Congo languages while naming a numeral (for example, in counting) noun class markers are used. These markers
may be the same for all numerals, but this is a rare case. More often, for
the numerals 1–10 there are three to four different markers (furthermore,
special class markers may be used for the numerals ‘20’, ‘100’, ‘200’ and
others)”.

Interestingly, some Adamawa languages with a noun class system,
such as the neighbouring language Waja or the nearby subgroup Bana-
Mboi, do not or hardly employ noun classes on numerals in normal
counting (Kleinewillinghöfer 2014b; 2014c; p.c.). Longuda is such
a case, too, with one exception, i.e. numeral ‘one’ in Deele and
Gwaanda: kālɩ̄-wá and kālɩ́-lā respectively. The noun classes with
numeral ‘one’ in Deele and Gwaanda conform to a general Niger-Congo
pattern that Pozdniakov (2018: 16f.) describes:

“Some numerals [...] tend to be marked with a specific noun class,
thus standing in opposition to the rest of the numerical terms. The use of
this specific class is especially frequent with the terms for ‘one’, ‘hundred’
and ‘thousand’”.

Another common strategy in Niger-Congo languages are analogical
changes in numerals. Analogical change is “the formal alignment of
numbers resulting from the diachronic alignment of forms by analogy”
(Pozdniakov 2018: 37). It involves “irregular phonetic changes in
lexical stems” and results in “similar forms” in “contiguous numerals”
(ibid.). However, these forms “are not always easily distinguishable
from phonetic similarities conditioned by morphological changes”
(ibid.). This latter point applies to the many numeral lexemes in
Longuda that start with the morpheme naː-. It probably originates, as
stated above, from either the root of naka ‘hand’ or niya ‘thing’,
forming a compound with the root of the numeral. Without this
knowledge it would seem as if Longuda employs a wide-spread analo-
gical change from numerals ‘one’ to ‘six’ (with exceptions in some
varieties, see Table 2).

Still, Longuda seems to have at least one analogical change it shares
with many other Adamawa as well as Kwa languages. While these
languages often have an initial n- with the numerals ‘four’ and ‘five’ all
five Longuda varieties have the alveolar nasal \( \text{ɲ} \)- as an onset of the numeral root (\( \text{ɲur} \) ‘four’ and \( \text{ɲɔ} \) ‘five’). In addition, in many of these aforementioned languages numeral ‘three’ starts with an \( s \)- or \( t \)-. This is in accord with the forms for ‘three’ in Kɔla and Deele (-ts\( \text{ɬ} \)r and -s\( \text{ɬ} \)r, respectively). Together with the reconstructed form \( *\text{taat} \) for ‘three’ in Adamawa languages (Pozdniakov 2018: 160) and its analogy to the Bantu reconstruction \( *\text{tAtlantic} \) and its variants such as s\( \text{ɬ}s \) and r\( \text{ɬ} \) (ibid. 261ff.) it leads me to the conclusion that the forms for ‘three’ in Kɔla and Deele are the original forms while the other varieties, Gwaanda, Guyuk and Cerin, have swapped their numerals ‘two’ and ‘three’.

5. Conclusion

Pozdniakov’s (2018: 146) statement that Adamawa languages are very divers, especially in the numeral system, applies not only to Adamawa languages in general but also to Longuda in particular. The three varieties examined here, Deele, Guyuk and Gwaanda, have many similarities that clearly show a very close genetic relationship, while there are also apparent differences in the structure and behaviour of numerals. “Numeral systems are language specific, even among closely related languages [and varieties, in some respect] numeral systems might differ considerably in their internal structure” (Borchardt 2011: 22). Still, there are some patterns and characteristics that can repeatedly be found in Adamawa languages (e.g. the pattern ‘five’ plus ‘one’ for ‘six’), whereas Longuda often stands out in this respect in regard to neighbouring and related noun class languages.

However, generally speaking, Longuda conforms to most numerals of the world’s languages in that (a) lower numerals are less (syntactically) complex than higher ones, (b) numerals are neither all adjectives nor all nouns but rather fall in-between those categories. It can be said that (c) lower numerals lean towards being more adjective-like while higher numerals are more noun-like. Concerning ordinal numerals, (d) they show the tendency to be derived from the respective cardinal numeral whereas (e) the lexeme for ‘first’ is often a suppletive form.
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CL – noun class    DEM – demonstrative    NUM – numeral marker
CON – connector    NOM – nominalisation  p.c. – personal communication
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